

Database API Reference

This document was autogenerated from source YAMLS for full provenance and data transparency. Please note that if scales were coalesced into one scale during the processing (named `_overall`) the subscales are removed and the info remains only for documentation purposes. `##` Data Columns and Scales by Wave

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
user	BOOLEAN	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	usage_experience	usage_experience	Not applicable	Boolean (true / false)	User of a language-based AI system	all	self	No
open_	BOOLEAN	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	usage_experience	usage_experience	Not applicable	Boolean (true / false)	Openness to Using AI Systems in the Future	all	self	No
apple_	TEXT	Wave1	apple_use	apple_use	Not applicable	2cal categories	Current Use of Apple Device	all	self	No
attitude_	FLOAT	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	attitudes	attitudes	Wave1: mean 0.9207, wave2: 0.9295, wave3: 0.9409, wave4: 0.9450, wave5: 0.9449, wave6: 0.9484	1–5	Attitudes toward AI in general (ATTARI-12)	all	Stein et al., 2024	No

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain				
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	α	Calculation	Range/Category	Test/Group	Reference	Items
attitudes	EL_QAT	Wave1, attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	self	No
		wave2,			0.9141,		To-			
		wave3,			wave2:		ward			
		wave4,			0.9183,		AI			
		wave5,			wave3:		in			
		wave6			0.9260,		Decision-			
					wave4:		Making			
					0.9296,					
					wave5:					
					0.9377,					
					wave6:					
					0.9359					
attitudes	EL_QAT	Wave1, attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	Gieselmann,	Non,
		wave2,			0.9016,		To-		M.,	
		wave3,			wave2:		ward		&	
		wave4,			0.9122,		AI		Sassen-	
		wave5,			wave3:		Data		berg,	
		wave6			0.9252,		Dis-		K.	
					wave4:		clo-		(2023);	
					0.9288,		sure		https://doi.org/10.1177/08944393221	
					wave5:		and			
					0.9316,		Privacy			
					wave6:					
					0.9368					
attitudes	EL_QAT	Wave1, attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	attitudes	Venkat	No
		wave2,			0.8475,		to-		et	
		wave3,			wave2:		ward		al.,	
		wave4,			0.8675,		us-		2009	
		wave5,			wave3:		age			
		wave6			0.8924,		(UT			
					wave4:		scale)			
					0.8981,					
					wave5:					
					0.9054,					
					wave6:					
					0.9142					

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Range/Calculation	Applied To	Group	Reference	Single Items
bigfive_FLOAT	float	1	bigfive	bigfive	highfive	extraversion 1–5 0.8252	Big Five	all	Veloso et al, 2021	No
bigfive_FLOAT	float	1	bigfive	bigfive	highfive	agreeableness 1–5 0.6379	Big Five	all	Veloso et al, 2021	No
bigfive_FLOAT	float	1	bigfive	bigfive	highfive	conscientiousness 1–5 0.6750	Big Five	all	Veloso et al, 2021	No
bigfive_FLOAT	float	1	bigfive	bigfive	highfive	neuroticism 1–5 0.8560	Big Five	all	Veloso et al, 2021	No
bigfive_FLOAT	float	1	bigfive	bigfive	highfive	openness 1–5 0.6794	Big Five	all	Veloso et al, 2021	No
context_FLOAT	float	2,3,4,5,6	context	context	context	Not applicable	Primary users	all	Gen-text of Personal AI Usage	No

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
context1	FLX	Wave 1	context1	0.9033	Primary	No
		wave2,		applicable	category	
		wave3,			text	
		wave4,			of	
		wave5,			AI	
		wave6			Sys-tem	
					Usage	
cognitive1	FLX	Wave 1	cognitive1	0.9031	Primary	Ward
		wave2, selfesteem		0.9031,	self-	(2013)
		wave3,		wave2:	es-	
		wave4,		0.9152,	teem	
		wave5,		wave3:	thinking	
		wave6		0.9165,		
				wave4:		
				0.9033,		
				wave5:		
				0.9231,		
				wave6:		
				0.9095		
cognitive2	FLX	Wave 1	cognitive2	0.9162	Primary	Ward
		wave2, selfesteem		0.9162,	memory	(2013)
		wave3,		wave2:		
		wave4,		0.9138,		
		wave5,		wave3:		
		wave6		0.9183,		
				wave4:		
				0.9153,		
				wave5:		
				0.9262,		
				wave6:		
				0.9178		

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain				
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	α	Calculation	Range/Category	Test Group	Reference	Items
cognitive	FL_QAT	Test retest	cognitive	cognitive	self-esteem	0.8478,	self-	initial	Ward	No
		wave2,				0.8478,	self-		(2013)	
		wave3,				0.8478,	es-			
		wave4,				0.8434,	teem			
		wave5,				0.8434,	trans-			
		wave6				0.8519,	ac-			
						0.8519,	tive			
						0.8622,	memory			
						0.8622,				
						0.8551,				
						0.8551,				
						0.8595				
closeness	SEX_X	Favorite	closeness	closeness	Not favorite	0.8478,	category	Perceivers	Aron	No
		wave2,				0.8478,	category		et	
		wave3,				0.8478,	Clos-		al. (1992);	
		wave4,				0.8478,	ness		https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-	
		wave5,				0.8519,	to		3514.63.4.596	
		wave6				0.8519,	Fa-			
						0.8519,	vorite			
						0.8519,	AI			
credibility	FL_QAT	Favorite	credibility	credibility	favorite	0.8890,	Perceivers	Flanagan	&	Yes
		wave2,				0.8890,	cred-		Met-	
		wave3,				0.8890,	ibil-		zger	
		wave4,				0.8784,	ity		(2000)	
		wave5,				0.8784,	of			
		wave6				0.9001,	fa-			
						0.9001,	vorite			
						0.9134,	AI			
						0.9134,	system			
						0.9270,				
						0.9270,				
						0.9208				
credibility	FL_QAT	Favorite	credibility	credibility	favorite	0.9348,	Perceivers	Flanagan	&	No
		wave2,				0.9348,	cred-		Met-	
		wave3,				0.9348,	ibil-		zger	
		wave4,				0.8952,	ity		(2000)	
		wave5,				0.8952,	(no			
		wave6				0.9466	user)			

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
creepiness	AI	Force	creepiness	0.9521,	Perceived	LangerNo
	wave2,			0.9521,	Creepi-	&
	wave3,			0.9423,	ness	König
	wave4,			0.9423,	Fa-	(2018);
	wave5,			0.9290,	vorite	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.0
	wave6			0.9290,	AI	
				0.9460,		
				0.9360,		
				0.9339		
creepiness	AI	Force	creepiness	0.9517,	Perceived	LangerNo
	wave2,			0.9517,	Creepi-	&
	wave3,			0.9203,	ness	König
	wave4,			0.9203,	AI	(2018);
	wave5,			0.9476		https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.0
	wave6			0.9476		
delegation	AI	Force	delegation	0.8151,	Comfort	self No
	wave2,			0.8151,	Level	
	wave3,			0.8201,	in	
	wave4,			0.8201,	Del-	
	wave5,			0.8295,	e-	
	wave6			0.8295,	gat-	
				0.8479,	ing	
				0.8479,	Dif-	
				0.8492,	fer-	
				0.8492,	ent	
				0.8675	Task	
				0.8675	Types	
					to	
					AI	

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Scale	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
age	FLOAT	wave1	demographic	aphisage	Nonl	response applicable	18-110	Age of participants (numerical value 18-110)	all self	No
gender	TEXT	wave1	demographic	aphisgender	Nonl	categories applicable	3 categories	Gender	all self	No
education	TEXT	wave1	demographic	aphiseduc	Nonl	ordinal applicable	6 categories	Highest level of Education Completed	all self	No
income	TEXT	wave1	demographic	aphisincome	Nonl	ordinal applicable	6 categories	Total household Income Before Taxes (Past 12 Months)	all self	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	Scale α	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
enjoyment	FLC	Wave 1	Favorite, enjoyment	enjoyment	0.9178,		of	10	users	Venkat (2000)	Yes
		wave2,			0.9273,		fa-				
		wave3,			0.9454,		vorite				
		wave4,			0.9483,		AI				
		wave5,			0.9528,		system				
		wave6			0.9493						
choice_1	FLC	Wave 1	favorite	choice_1	0.9178,		applicable	categories	Choiceusers	self	No
		wave2,			0.9273,		of				
		wave3,			0.9454,		fa-				
		wave4,			0.9483,		vorite				
		wave5,			0.9528,		AI				
		wave6			0.9493		system				
choice_2	FLC	Wave 1	favorite	choice_2	0.9178,		applicable	categories	Choiceusers	self	No
		wave2,			0.9273,		of				
		wave3,			0.9454,		fa-				
		wave4,			0.9483,		vorite				
		wave5,			0.9528,		AI				
		wave6			0.9493		system				
general	FLC	Wave 1	general	general	0.9178,		applicable	categories	General	all	No
		wave2,			0.9273,		ex-				
		wave3,			0.9454,		pe-				
		wave4,			0.9483,		ri-				
		wave5,			0.9528,		ence				
		wave6			0.9493		with				
							AI				
							systems				

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
intention1	FL_Q1	AI use for intention	intention1	0.9280,	to use favorite AI system	Venkatesh et al., 2003
	wave2,			0.9301,		
	wave3,			0.9400,		
	wave4,			0.9432,		
	wave5,			0.9649,		
	wave6			0.9613		
intention2	FL_Q2	AI use for intention	intention2	0.9531,	to use AI systems (no user)	Venkatesh et al., 2003
	wave2,			0.9531,		
	wave3,			0.9531,		
	wave4,			0.9531,		
	wave5,			0.9710		
	wave6					
subjective knowledge	FL_Q3	AI use for knowledge	subjective knowledge	0.9531,	to use AI systems (no user)	Venkatesh et al., 2003
	wave2,			0.9531,		
	wave3,			0.9531,		
	wave4,			0.9531,		
	wave5,			0.9710		
	wave6					
predictability	FL_Q4	AI use for predictability	predictability	0.9531,	to use AI systems (no user)	Venkatesh et al., 2003
	wave2,			0.9531,		
	wave3,			0.9531,		
	wave4,			0.9531,		
	wave5,			0.9710		
	wave6					

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain					
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	α	Calculation	Range/Category	To	Group	Reference	Single Items
objective	INTELLIGENCE	Red	knowledge	knowledge	objective	knowledge	Correct	Objective	Adapted	Yes	
		wave2,			0.3500,	18	AI		from		
		wave3,			wave2:		knowl-		Said		
		wave4,			0.3289,		edge		et		
		wave5,			wave3:		(18		al.,		
		wave6			0.2708,		fac-		2022		
					wave4:		tual		and		
					0.3035,		items)		Ler-		
					wave5:				mann		
					0.3131,				Hen-		
					wave6:				e-		
					0.2762				strosa		
									&		
									Kim-		
									merle,		
									2024		
objective	INTELLIGENCE	Red	knowledge	knowledge	objective	knowledge	Confidence	Confidence	Adapted	No	
		wave2,			0.9334,		in		from		
		wave3,			wave2:		ob-		Said		
		wave4,			0.9328,		jec-		et		
		wave5,			wave3:		tive		al.,		
		wave6			0.9308,		knowl-		2022		
					wave4:		edge		and		
					0.9346,		about		Ler-		
					wave5:		AI		mann		
					0.9355,				Hen-		
					wave6:				e-		
					0.9373				strosa		
									&		
									Kim-		
									merle,		
									2024		

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	To	Single
				α	Calculation	Items
loneliness	ELI-OAT	wave1, loneliness	loneliness	wave1: mean 1-3	Perceived Loneliness	Hughes et al. (2008)
		wave2,		0.8901,		
		wave3,		wave2:		
		wave4,		0.9059,		
		wave5,		wave3:		
		wave6		0.9075,		
				wave4:		
				0.9051,		
				wave5:		
				0.9297,		
				wave6:		
				0.9277		
modality	EXAF	wave1, modality	modality	wave1: mean 1-3	Modality	users self No
		wave2,		0.8901,	applicability	categories
		wave3,		wave2:		when
		wave4,		0.9059,		in-
		wave5,		wave3:		ter-
		wave6		0.9075,		act-
				wave4:		ing
				0.9051,		with
				wave5:		fa-
				0.9297,		vorite
				wave6:		AI
				0.9277		system
need	ELI-OAT	wave1, need	satisfaction	wave1: mean 1-3	Satisfaction	Prentice et al. (2019)
		wave2,		0.8803,	of	
		wave3,		wave2:	moral-	
		wave4,		0.8783,	ity	
		wave5,		wave3:	need	
		wave6		0.8691,	When	
				wave4:	in-	
				0.8967,	ter-	
				wave5:	act-	
				0.9002,	ing	
				wave6:	with	
				0.9012	AI	
					systems	

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Cluster	Items
need_	ELICIT	1, complete satisfaction	1, complete satisfaction	0.8535,	competence	Satisfaction
	wave2,			0.8379,	of	Prentice
	wave3,			0.8688,	com-	et
	wave4,			0.8760,	pe-	al. (2019)
	wave5,				tence	
	wave6				need	
					When	
					in-	
					ter-	
					act-	
					ing	
					with	
					AI	
					systems	
need_	ELICIT	1, complete satisfaction	1, complete satisfaction	0.8157,	competence	Satisfaction
	wave2,			0.8379,	of	Prentice
	wave3,			0.8688,	au-	et
	wave4,			0.8760,	ton-	al. (2019)
	wave5,				omy	
	wave6				need	
					When	
					in-	
					ter-	
					act-	
					ing	
					with	
					AI	
					systems	

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Cluster	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
need_satisfaction	ELI	1	need_satisfaction	need_satisfaction	0.9211,	of				No
		wave2,			0.9211,					
		wave3,			0.9234,					
		wave4,			0.9187,					
		wave5,			0.9206,					
		wave6			0.9375,					
					0.9347					
perceived_AIT	ELI	1	perceived_AIT	perceived_AIT	0.9117,					No
		wave2,			0.9114,					
		wave3,			0.9103,					
		wave4,			0.9202,					
		wave5,			0.9321,					
		wave6			0.9219					
perceived_AIT	ELI	1	perceived_AIT	perceived_AIT	0.8900,					No
		wave2,			0.8867,					
		wave3,			0.9001					
		wave4,								
		wave5,								
		wave6								

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
perceived intelligence	AI	Wave1	perceived intelligence	perceived intelligence	0.9031,	de 5	favor	receivers	Bartne et al. (2009)	Yes
		wave2,			0.9028,					
		wave3,			0.9088,					
		wave4,			0.9192,					
		wave5,			0.9227,					
		wave6			0.9241					
perceived intelligence	AI	Wave1	perceived intelligence	perceived intelligence	0.9425,	de 5	favor	receivers	Bartne et al. (2009)	No
		wave2,			0.8866,					
		wave3,			0.9580					
		wave4,								
		wave5,								
		wave6								
perceived intelligence	AI	Wave1	perceived intelligence	perceived intelligence	0.7655,	de 5	favor	receivers		No
		wave2,			0.7567,					
		wave3,			0.7469,					
		wave4,			0.7277,					
		wave5,			0.7432,					
		wave6			0.7738					

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
perceived task difficulty	likert	1	perceived task difficulty	perceived task difficulty	0.85	1-5	1-5	Task Characteristics and Trust in AI for Writing a Work-Related Email	Lubars, No	No
perceived task difficulty	likert	1	perceived task difficulty	perceived task difficulty	0.85	1-5	1-5	Task Characteristics and Trust in AI for Writing a Formal Letter	Lubars, No	No

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain				
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	α	Calculation	Range/Category	Justification	References	Items
perceived	Ed_OA3	Wave 1	diaparticip	perceived	diaparticip	perceived	diaparticip	perceived	diaparticip	No
						applicable	Task Char-acter-is-tics and Trust in AI for Writing a Job Application	Task Char-acter-is-tics and Trust in AI for Writing a Job Application	B., & Tan, C. (2019)	
perceived	Ed_OA3	Wave 1	diaparticip	perceived	diaparticip	perceived	diaparticip	perceived	diaparticip	No
						applicable	Task Char-acter-is-tics and Trust in AI for Writing a Brief Business Meeting Summary	Task Char-acter-is-tics and Trust in AI for Writing a Brief Business Meeting Summary	B., & Tan, C. (2019)	

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	Scale α	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
perceived task difficulty	likert	1	perceived task difficulty	perceived task difficulty	0.85	0.85	1-5	1-5	Task Characteristics and Trust in AI for Writing a Confidence Card	B., & Tan, C. (2019)	No
perceived task difficulty	likert	1	perceived task difficulty	perceived task difficulty	0.85	0.85	1-5	1-5	Task Characteristics and Trust in AI for Writing a Social Media Post	B., & Tan, C. (2019)	No

Column	Data			Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Name	α	Calculation	To	Single
							Group	Items
perceived	Ed	Q3	Task	diagram	participants	discuss	personal	No
						applicable	Task	
							Char-	B.,
							ac-	&
							ter-	Tan,
							is-	C.
							tics	(2019)
							and	
							Trust	
							in	
							AI	
							for	
							Writ-	
							ing	
							a	
							Per-	
							sonal	
							Letter	
perceived	Ed	Q3	Task	diagram	participants	discuss	personal	No
						applicable	Task	
							Char-	B.,
							ac-	&
							ter-	Tan,
							is-	C.
							tics	(2019)
							and	
							Trust	
							in	
							AI	
							for	
							Writ-	
							ing	
							a	
							Birth-	
							day	
							In-	
							vi-	
							ta-	
							tion	
							Card	

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
perception	LIK	Toolval;perc	act;perc	act;perc	act;perc	act;perc
		wave2,		applicable	categories	of
		wave3,				fa-
		wave4,				vorite
		wave5,				AI
		wave6				sys-
						tem
						as
						tool
						or
						so-
						cial
						actor
perception	LIK	Toolval;perc	act;perc	act;perc	act;perc	act;perc
		wave2,		applicable	categories	of
		wave3,				gen-
		wave4,				eral
		wave5,				AI
		wave6				sys-
						tem
						as
						tool
						or
						so-
						cial
						actor
preferred	LIK	Toolval;perc	act;perc	act;perc	act;perc	act;perc
		wave2,		0.8067,		Level
		wave3,		wave2:		of
		wave4,		0.8390,		AI
		wave5,		wave3:		As-
		wave6		0.8494,		sis-
				wave4:		tance
				0.8426,		for
				wave5:		Writ-
				0.8594,		ing
				wave6:		Tasks
				0.8573		

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	Scale α	Cronbach's α	Calculation	Score Range	Applied To	Reasons	References	Retain Single Items
reason_B	BOOLEAN	1	Not using as a reason for not using multiple push-button systems (is this a strong reason for you?)	reason_B	1	0.94	applicable	(selected / not selected)	for Not Currently Using AI Systems	self		No
strong_reason_B	BOOLEAN	1	Not using as a reason for not using multiple push-button systems (is this a strong reason for you?)	strong_reason_B	1	0.94	applicable	(selected / not selected)	of Each Reason for Not Currently Using AI Systems (is this a strong reason for you?)	self		No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
risk_opportunity	FLCATT	Wave 5	risk_opportunity	Perceived Risks and Opportunities	0.6365,		5-point Likert scale	Adapted from Walpole & Wil-son, 2021; Schwe-sig et al., 2023	No	
		wave2,			0.6365,					
		wave3,			wave2:					
		wave4,			0.6041,					
		wave5,			wave3:					
		wave6			0.5461,					
					wave4:					
					0.5332,					
					wave5:					
					0.3872,					
					wave6:					
					0.4810					
self_efficiency	FLCATT	Wave 5	self_efficiency	Self-efficacy	0.9367,		5-point Likert scale	Puente-Díaz, R., & Cavazos-Arroyo, J. (2022)	No	
		wave2,			0.9367,					
		wave3,			wave2:					
		wave4,			0.9425,					
		wave5,			wave3:					
		wave6			0.9499,					
					wave4:					
					0.9530,					
					wave5:					
					0.9550,					
					wave6:					
					0.9587					
self_efficacy	FLCATT	Wave 5	self_efficacy	Self-efficacy	0.8790,		5-point Likert scale	Hoppner et al., 2001	No	
		wave2,			0.8790,					
		wave3,			wave2:					
		wave4,			0.8921,					
		wave5,			wave3:					
		wave6			0.9057,					
					wave4:					
					0.9002,					
					wave5:					
					0.9200,					
					wave6:					
					0.9255					

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
self_eff	AI	with	self-efficacy	0.9208,	creativity	Puente
	wave2,			0.9208,	self-	Diaz
	wave3,			0.9339,	efficacy	&
	wave4,			0.9339,	with	Cavazos-
	wave5,			0.9362,	AI	Arroyo,
	wave6			0.9362,		2022
				0.9506,		
				0.9548,		
				0.9465		
self_eff	AI	with	self-efficacy	0.8697,	problem-solving	Heppner
	wave2,			0.8697,	solv-	et
	wave3,			0.8838,	ing	al.,
	wave4,			0.8838,	self-	2001
	wave5,			0.9021,	efficacy	
	wave6			0.9021,	with	
				0.9269,	AI	
				0.9189,		
				0.9097		
social_P	AI	with	social-efficacy	0.9614,	socialisers	Gefen
	wave2,			0.9614,	pres-	&
	wave3,			0.9639,	ence	Straub
	wave4,			0.9639,	of	(2004)
	wave5,			0.9659,	fa-	
	wave6			0.9659,	vorite	
				0.9633,	AI	
				0.9633,	sys-	
				0.9685,	tem	
				0.9685,	(humanness)	
				0.9686		

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
social_	FLORAD	wave1, trust	trust.yanb	0.9756,	7 favorite AI system (intelligence)	Lee, No
		wave2,		0.9793,	pres-	K.
		wave3,		0.9793,	ence	M.,
		wave4,		0.9793,	of	et
		wave5,		0.9793,	fa-	al. (2006)
		wave6		0.9821	vorite	
task_	FLORAD	task_types	task_types	applicable	AI Systems	users self No
		wave2,		applicable	Pur-	
		wave3,			lected poses	
		wave4,			/ for	
		wave5,			not Us-	
		wave6			selected	
task_	FLORAD	task_types	task_types	applicable	AI Systems	users self No
		wave2,		applicable	of	
		wave3,			lected Tasks	
		wave4,			/ for	
		wave5,			not Which	
		wave6			selected	
trust_	FLORAD	wave1, trust	trust.yanb	0.8577,	Trust in fa-	Perrig, Yes
		wave2,		0.8577,	vorite	S.
		wave3,		0.8538,	AI	A.,
		wave4,		0.8538,	system	Scharowski,
		wave5,		0.8686,		N.,
		wave6		0.8686,		&
				0.8878,		Brühlmann,
				0.8878,		F.
				0.8944,		(2023)
				0.8944,		
				0.8722		

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
trust_comp	FL0	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	trust two_parts	trust_comp	0.7410, wave2: 0.7231, wave3: 0.7301, wave4: 0.7541, wave5: 0.7856, wave6: 0.7674	mean 1-5	Trust in favorite AI system	users	Perrig, S., A., Scharowski, N., & Brühlmann, F. (2023), split in 2 parts	No
trust_rep	FL0	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	trust two_parts	trust_rep	0.8770, wave2: 0.8882, wave3: 0.8851, wave4: 0.9197, wave5: 0.9058, wave6: 0.8904	mean 1-5	Trust in favorite AI system	users	Perrig, S., A., Scharowski, N., & Brühlmann, F. (2023), split in 2 parts	No
general_fa	FL0	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	frequency	general_fa	frequency	frequency	General use of AI systems	all	self	No
favorite_fa	FL0	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	frequency	favorite_fa	frequency	frequency	Favorite AI tool	users	self	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Calculation	Score Range	Applied To	Cluster	Reference	Retain Single Items
usefulness	FAI	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	usefulness	usefulness	usefulness	usefulness	usefulness	Perceived usefulness of AI	Perceived usefulness of AI	self	No
usefulness	FAI	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	usefulness	usefulness	usefulness	usefulness	usefulness	Perceived usefulness of AI	Perceived usefulness of AI	self	No
cognitive	TE	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	self-esteem composite	cognitive	self-esteem composite	self-esteem composite	self-esteem composite	Composite of cognitive self-esteem thinking, cognitive self-esteem memory, cognitive self-esteem transactive memory	Composite of cognitive self-esteem thinking, cognitive self-esteem memory, cognitive self-esteem transactive memory		See constituent scales
credibility	TE	Wave1, wave2, wave3, wave4, wave5, wave6	credibility composite	credibility composite	credibility composite	credibility composite	credibility composite	Composite of credibility_favorite_ai, credibility_ai_no_user	Composite of credibility_favorite_ai, credibility_ai_no_user		See constituent scales

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
favorite_ai	TEXT	system, composite	favorite_ai_system, composite	favorite_ai_system	0.9280;	of applicable	Composite	choice_favorite_ai_system, choice_favorite_ai_no_user	See	con-stituent scales
intention_use	TEXT	overall, composite	intention_use_overall, composite	intention_use_overall	0.9280;	of in-ten-tion_use_favorite_ai, intention_use_no_user	Composite		See	con-stituent scales
perceived_anthropomorphism	TEXT	overall, composite	perceived_anthropomorphism_overall, composite	perceived_anthropomorphism_overall	0.9117;	of perceived_anthropomorphism_favorite_ai, perceived_anthropomorphism_ai_no_user	Composite		See	con-stituent scales

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Cluster	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
perceived_intelligence	TEXT	1, composite	perceived_intelligence	perceived_intelligence	0.9031;	of	Composite	See		
		wave2,			0.9028;	per-		constituent		
		wave3,			0.9088;	ceived_intelligence		scales		
		wave4,			0.9192,	perceived_intelligence_ai_no_user				
		wave5,			0.9425;					
		wave6			0.9227,					
					0.8866;					
					0.9241,					
					0.9580					
perceived_role_of_ai	TEXT	1, composite	perceived_role_of_ai	perceived_role_of_ai	Not applicable	of	Composite	See		
						per-		constituent		
						ceived_role_of_ai_scales				
						perceived_role_of_ai_no_user				
perception_tool_actor	TEXT	1, composite	perception_tool_actor	perception_tool_actor	Not applicable	of	Composite	See		
		wave2,				per-		constituent		
		wave3,				cep-		scales		
		wave4,				tion_tool_actor_favorite_ai,				
		wave5,				perception_tool_actor_no_user				
		wave6								
usefulness_favorite_ai	TEXT	1, composite	usefulness_favorite_ai	usefulness_favorite_ai	Not applicable	of	Composite	See		
		wave2,				use-		constituent		
		wave3,				ful-		scales		
		wave4,				ness_favorite_ai,				
		wave5,				usefulness_no_user				
		wave6								

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Question	File Name	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/ Categories	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
effects_FinOAF	Wave2	effects	effects	effects	effects	0.9026,	1-5	Effects on work	all	self	No
		wave3, on				0.9105,					
		wave4, work				0.9037,					
		wave5,				0.9298,					
		wave6				0.9347					
voting_Decision	Wave2	us_voting	us_voting	us_voting	us_voting	applicable	1-3	US Presidential Vote (Voluntary)	all	self	No
voting_FinOAF	Wave2	us_voting	us_voting	us_voting	us_voting	applicable	1-7	Self-Reported Mood during the US voting	all	self	No
need_toBelong	Wave3	needs	needs	needs	needs	0.7230,	1-7	need to belong	all	2 items from Baumeister & Leary (2015)	No
		wave4,				0.7828,					
		wave5,				0.7452,					
		wave6				0.7852					

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
need_fbcognition	FLCA	Wave3, needs wave4, wave5, wave6	needs needs.y	need_for_cognition	-	0.2867, wave4: -	1-7	need all for cognition	3 items from Lins de Holanda Coelho et al. (2020)	No
need_fbclosure	FLCA	Wave3, needs wave4, wave5, wave6	needs needs.y	need_for_closure	-	0.7738, wave4: 0.7313, wave5: 0.7561, wave6: 0.7645, wave7: 0.7936	1-7	need all for need_for_closure	3 items from Roets & van Hiel (2011)	No
personality	FLCA	Wave3, needs wave4, wave5, wave6	specific, personality	personality	-	0.3258, wave4: 0.4051, wave5: 0.3182, wave6: 0.2792	1-7	Personality-Specific Traits	Leary, No M. R et al (2013); https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2013.814514	No
creepiness	FLCA	Wave3, needs wave4, wave5, wave6	composite	creepiness	-	0.9290, wave4: 0.9460, wave5: 0.9517, wave6: 0.9360, wave7: 0.9203, wave8: 0.9339, wave9: 0.9476	1-9	Composite of creepiness_favorite_ai_user, creepiness_ai_no_user	See constituent scales	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
ai_adop	FL	Q1	Factor: adoption intentions	ai_adop	0.9161	mean = 4.10, range = 1-7	1-7	Change in users self		No
		wave5, wave6			applicable			needed for AI adoption		
ai_aver	FL	Q1	Factor: aversion	ai_aver	0.8959, 0.9024, 0.9196	mean = 4.10, range = 1-7	1-7	Aversion in users self		No
		wave5, wave6						To-ward AI Among Non-Users		
concerns	FL	Q1	Factor: concerns about loss of autonomy	concerns	0.8964, 0.8661, 0.9238	mean = 4.10, range = 1-7	1-7	About Loss of Autonomy Due to AI Among Non-Users		No
		wave5, wave6								
distrust	FL	Q1	Factor: distrust in AI	distrust	0.9437, 0.8999, 0.9635	mean = 4.10, range = 1-7	1-7	Distrust in users self		No
		wave5, wave6						of Cor-porations Regarding AI Among Non-Users		

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
ecological_beliefs	FLC	Wave 5, wave 6	ecological beliefs	ecological beliefs	0.9269, 0.9205, 0.9205, 0.9437	1n7_us	Environmental concerns About AI Among Non-Users	nonusersself		No
ethical_beliefs	FLC	Wave 5, wave 6	ethical beliefs	ethical beliefs	0.9187, 0.9353, 0.9509	1n7_us	Environmental concerns About AI Among Non-Users	nonusersself		No
knowledge	TEXT	Wave 4, wave 5, wave 6	knowledge	knowledge	applicable	categories	How to Start Using AI Among Non-Users	nonusersself		No
lack_of_familiarity	FLC	Wave 5, wave 6	lack of familiarity	lack of familiarity	0.8749, 0.9036, 0.9130	1n7_us	Lack of Fear of Missing Out on AI Among Non-Users	nonusersself		No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
perceivedTEXT	wave4, wave5, wave6	4, 5, 6	perceived privacy	perceived privacy	0.9278, 0.9132, 0.9279	should be able to control what is shared	7-point Likert scale	Perceived privacy	users self	No
perceivedFLOAT	wave4, wave5, wave6	4, 5, 6	perceived privacy	perceived privacy	0.9278, 0.9132, 0.9279	should be able to control what is shared	7-point Likert scale	Perceived privacy	users self	No
preferredFLOAT	wave4, wave5, wave6	4, 5, 6	preferred privacy	preferred privacy	0.8986, 0.9187, 0.9079	should be able to control what is shared	7-point Likert scale	Preferred privacy	users self	No
securityFLOAT	wave4, wave5, wave6	4, 5, 6	security concerns	security concerns	0.9676, 0.9671, 0.9755	should be able to control what is shared	7-point Likert scale	Security concerns	users self	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	Scale α	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
consequences	LI	QAT	Have consequences	consequences	LI	0.9454	1-7	User Users' perceived consequences of AI use	users	Guin & Graziano (2024), self	Yes
consequences	LI	QAT	Have consequences	consequences	LI	0.8878	1-7	Non-users' perceived consequences of AI use	nonusers	Guin & Graziano (2024), self	Yes
companionship	LI	QAT	Favorite companionship	companionship	LI	0.9525	1-4	Perceived Companionship with Favorite AI	users	self	No

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain					
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	α	Calculation	Range/Cluster	Category	Group	Reference	Items
effect_51	Observed	Behavior	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect
					0.8674			Effect of AI Interaction on Behavior Toward People			Yes
effect_52	Observed	Behavior	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect	effect
					0.9184			Potential Effect of AI Interaction on Behavior Toward People (Non-Users)			No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	Group Reference	Retain Single Items
ethical	FL	6	Have you ever been asked to do something that you felt was wrong or unethical?	Individuals in the sample	0.8572	1-7	1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree	Concerned about ethical implications of delegating tasks to AI	all self	No
parasocial	FL	6	Have you ever been asked to do something that you felt was wrong or unethical?	Individuals in the sample	0.8572	1-7	1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree	Extended to which you would behave in the following ways towards your favorite AI	users adapted from Schramm, H., & Hartmann, T. (2008); https://doi.org/10.1515/COMM.2008	Yes

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
parasocial	ELI	6	parasocial	parasocial	0.7931	1-7	Expected	users	Schramm, H., & Hartmann, T. (2008); https://doi.org/10.1515/COMM.2008	No
general	ELI	6	general	general	0.9056	1-5	perception of favorite AI system	users	Malle (2019), Banks (2019)	No

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain				
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	α	Calculation	Range/Category	To	Reference	Single
general	EF	6	AI	Wave 6	generalization	0.9102	users' gen-eral-ized mind per-cep-tion of fa-vorite AI system	Non-nonsense	Malle (2019), Banks (2019)	No
hope	AI	6	AI	Wave 6	hope	0.6738	Hopes all and Con-cerns About AI	self		No
impact	EF	6	AI	Wave 6	impact	0.6738	impact of Let-ting AI Per-form Tasks on Per-sonal Skills	self		No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
impactFI	Float	6	General impact of AI on personal skill development	General impact of AI on personal skill development	0.3004		Impact of AI on Personal Skill Development	users self		No
impactFI	Float	6	General impact of AI on personal skills	General impact of AI on personal skills	0.4831		Potential Impact of AI on Personal Skills (Non-Users)	users self		No
microblogAff	Float	6	microblogging and social media use	microblogging and social media use			Profile available on Microblogging Services	self		No
microblogOn	Float	6	microblogging and social media use	microblogging and social media use			Frequently of Logging into Microblogging Services	self		No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Center	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
microblog	FL	Q1	Give 6 microblogs	microblogging	0.81	Logarithmic transformation	0.000000 to 5.000000	Change all self		No
professional	FL	Q1	Give 6 microblogs	professional	0.81	Logarithmic transformation	0.000000 to 5.000000	Change all self		No
professional	FL	Q1	Give 6 microblogs	professional	0.81	Logarithmic transformation	0.000000 to 5.000000	Change all self		No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Center	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
professionalism	EL6A	Wave 6	microblogging usage of professionals	Non-individualistic	0.5567	applicable	1-7	Change in Professional Social Networking Site Usage Since Starting AI Use	Cheng & Yang, 2024	No
machine learning heuristic	EL6A	Wave 6	machine learning heuristic	machine learning heuristic	0.5567		1-7	Favorable in the machine learning heuristic for favorite AI System (Set 1)	Yang & Sundar, 2024	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	Scale α	Cronbach's α	Score Range/Calculation	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
machine_1	EL	6	First	machine_1	heuristic	0.4071	1-7	Belief in the machine heuristic for AI systems (no user) (Set 1)	Yang & Sundar, 2024	No
machine_2	EL	6	First	machine_2	heuristic	0.8395	1-7	Belief in the machine heuristic for favorite AI System (Set 2)	Sundar & Kim, 2019	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Category	Applied To	References	Retain Single Items
machine_EL6	EL6	First	6 machine heuristic	machine heuristic	0.8881	2-7	No Belief in the machine heuristic for AI systems (no user) (Set 2)	nonusers	Sundar & Kim, 2019	No
mind_perception_favorite_AI	Perception	Favorite	AI	perception	0.9343	1-5	Perceivers Mind Perception of Favorite AI	self		No
mind_perception_general_AI	Perception	General	AI	perception	0.9196	1-5	Perceivers Mind Perception of AI in General	self		No

Column	Data	Scale	Cronbach's	Score	Applied	Retain
Name	Type	Wave	Questionnaire	α	Calculation	Single
					Range/Category	Items
number of tasks completed	EX Tasks	6	delighted to use AI	0.7742	1-5 1 = Not at all 5 = Completely	self No
perceived changes in attitudes and usage of AI over the past year	EX Changes	6	perceived changes in attitudes and usage of AI over the past year	0.7742	1-5 1 = Not at all 5 = Completely	self No
perceived AI moral agency	EX AI	6	perceived AI moral agency	0.8322	1-5 1 = Not at all 5 = Completely	self No
perceived AI moral agency in general	EX AI	6	perceived AI moral agency in general	0.8655	1-5 1 = Not at all 5 = Completely	self No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
perception of AI	Wave 6	perception of AI	perception of AI	perception of AI	0.7781	perception of AI	perception of AI	perception of AI	self	No
potential for AI	Wave 6	potential for AI	potential for AI	potential for AI	0.8823	potential for AI	potential for AI	potential for AI	self	No
willingness to delegate	Wave 6	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	0.9243	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	self	No
willingness to delegate	Wave 6	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	0.9243	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	willingness to delegate	self	No

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Restrictions	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
willingness	TEXT	Wave 6	AI-related willingness to delegate tasks to AI	agency_willingness	0.8140, 0.8109	Overall composite score	0 to 100	Future all self	See agency_favorite_ai, agency_ai_no_user_scales	No
effectiveness	TEXT	Wave 6	AI-related effectiveness of AI	effectiveness	0.8674, 0.9184	Overall composite score	0 to 100	Future all self	See effect_on_behavior_toward_people_no_user, effect_on_behavior_toward_people_user_scales	See
impact	TEXT	Wave 6	AI-related impact of AI	impact	0.3004, 0.4831	Overall composite score	0 to 100	Future all self	See impact_in_general_on_skills_no_user, impact_in_general_on_skills_user_scales	See
machine	TEXT	Wave 6	AI-related machine heuristic 1	machine_heuristic_1	0.5567, 0.4071	Overall composite score	0 to 100	Future all self	See machine_heuristic_1_no_user, machine_heuristic_1_user_scales	See
machine	TEXT	Wave 6	AI-related machine heuristic 2	machine_heuristic_2	0.8395, 0.8881	Overall composite score	0 to 100	Future all self	See machine_heuristic_2_no_user, machine_heuristic_2_user_scales	See
mind	TEXT	Wave 6	AI-related mind perception	mind_perception	0.9343, 0.9196	Overall composite score	0 to 100	Future all self	See mind_perception_factorial, mind_perception_no_user_scales	See

Column Name	Data Type	Wave	Questionnaire	File Name	Scale Name	Cronbach's α	Score Calculation	Range/Centering	Applied To	Reference	Retain Single Items
parasocial_behavior_composite	TEXT	6	composite	parasocial_behavior_composite	parasocial_behavior_composite	0.8572, 0.7931	overall	Composite of parasocial_behavior_favorite_ai, parasocial_behavior_no_user	See scales		
perceived_moral_agency_composite	TEXT	6	composite	perceived_moral_agency_composite	perceived_moral_agency_composite	0.8322, 0.8655	overall	Composite of perceived_moral_agency_favorite_ai, perceived_moral_agency_no_user	See scales		

Item Details

user

- Are you currently using language-based AI systems?
- 1: True
- 2: False

open_to_use

- Are you open to use or plan to use language-based AI systems in the next couple of months?
- 1: True
- 2: False

apple_use

- Do you currently use an Apple device?
- 1: Yes
- 2: No

attitudes

- AI will make this world a better place.
- I have strong negative emotions about AI. (inversed)
- I want to use technologies that rely on AI.
- AI has more disadvantages than advantages. (inversed)
- I look forward to future AI developments.
- AI offers solutions to many world problems.
- I prefer technologies that do not feature AI. (inversed)
- I am afraid of AI. (inversed)

- I would rather choose a technology with AI than one without it.
- AI creates problems rather than solving them. (inversed)
- When I think about AI, I have mostly positive feelings.
- I would rather avoid technologies that are based on AI. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

attitudes_toward_ai_decisions

- If given the opportunity, I would let an AI system make most of my decisions.
- I think AI systems will eventually make better decisions than humans in most areas.
- I would feel relieved if AI systems could take over decision-making responsibilities in my life.
- I would be willing to let an AI system learn my preferences over time to make better decisions for me.
- In case I cannot, I would trust an AI system to make important financial decisions on my behalf.
- In case I cannot, I would trust an AI system to make important medical decisions on my behalf.
- In case I cannot, I would trust an AI system to make important legal decisions on my behalf.
- I would prefer to delegate routine decision-making tasks to an AI system.
- Using AI to make decisions saves me time and effort.
- I am comfortable letting AI systems choose the best options for me in daily life (e.g., what to buy, where to eat).

Item score range: 1–5

attitudes_toward_disclosure

- I feel that AI practices are an invasion of privacy.
- I feel uncomfortable about the types of information that AI collects.
- The way that AI monitors its users makes me feel uneasy.
- I feel personally invaded by the methods used by AI to collect information.
- I am concerned about my privacy when using AI.
- I would find it acceptable if AI records and uses information about my usage behavior. (inversed)
- I would provide AI access to information about me that is stored in or collected by other technological applications or systems. (inversed)
- I would provide a lot of information to AI about things that represent me personally. (inversed)
- I would find it acceptable if AI had a detailed profile of my person. (inversed)
- I would give AI access to a lot of information that would characterize me as a person. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–7

attitudes__usage

- I would rather avoid technologies that are based on AI. (inversed)
- AI makes work more interesting.
- Working with AI is fun.
- I like working with AI.

Item score range: 1–5

bigfive__extraversion

- I am talkative
- I have a tendency to be quiet (inversed)
- I can be shy and inhibited (inversed)
- I am outgoing and social

Item score range: 1–5

bigfive__agreeableness

- I am helpful and selfless towards others
- I can be cold and distant (inversed)
- I am considerate towards most people
- I can be impolite sometimes (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

bigfive__conscientiousness

- I work thoroughly
- I can be careless (inversed)
- I have a tendency to have little order in my life (inversed)
- I make plans and follow through

Item score range: 1–5

bigfive__neuroticism

- I am depressed
- I am relaxed, manage stress well (inversed)
- I worry a lot
- I easily get nervous

Item score range: 1–5

bigfive__openness

- I am original, have new ideas
- I have a vivid imagination
- I like to speculate, play with ideas
- I have few artistic interests (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

context__of__use__user

- **In which context do you use AI systems primarily?**
- 1: Personal context
- 2: Professional context

context__of__use__no__user

- **In which context do you see AI systems primarily used?**
- 1: Personal context
- 2: Professional context

cognitive__selfesteem__thinking

- I am smart
- I am smarter than the average person
- My mind is one of my best qualities
- I am good at thinking
- I feel good about my ability to think through problems
- I am capable of solving most problems without outside help

Item score range: 1–7

cognitive__selfesteem__memory

- I am proud of my memory
- I feel good about my ability to remember things
- I have a better memory than most people
- I have a good memory for recalling trivial information

Item score range: 1–7

cognitive__selfesteem__transactive__memory

- I know where to look to answer questions I don't know myself
- When I don't know the answer to a question right away, I know where to find it
- I know which people to ask when I don't know the answer to a question
- I have a knack for tracking down information

Item score range: 1–7

closeness_favorite_ai

- **Please select the image that best represents how you perceive the relation you have with (piped fav AI).**
- 1: no_overlap
- 2: slight_overlap
- 3: some_overlap
- 4: moderate_overlap
- 5: strong_overlap
- 6: very_strong_overlap
- 7: almost_complete_overlap

credibility_favorite_ai

- unbelievable - believable
- inaccurate - accurate
- untrustworthy - trustworthy
- biased - unbiased
- not credible - credible

Item score range: 1–5

credibility_ai_no_user

- unbelievable - believable
- inaccurate - accurate
- untrustworthy - trustworthy
- biased - unbiased
- not credible - credible

Item score range: 1–5

creepiness_favorite_ai_user

- I have a queasy feeling.
- I have a feeling that there is something shady.
- I feel uneasy.
- I have an indefinable fear.
- This interaction somehow feels threatening.
- I don't know how to judge the interaction with (piped fav AI).
- I don't know exactly what is happening to me.
- Things are going on that I don't understand.
- I don't know exactly how to behave.
- I do not know exactly what to expect of this interaction.

Item score range: 1–7

creepiness_ai_no_user

- I have a queasy feeling.
- I have a feeling that there is something shady.
- I feel uneasy.
- I have an indefinable fear.
- This interaction somehow feels threatening.
- I don't know how to judge the interaction with (piped fav AI).
- I don't know exactly what is happening to me.
- Things are going on that I don't understand.
- I don't know exactly how to behave.
- I do not know exactly what to expect of this interaction.

Item score range: 1–7

delegation_comfort

- Content Creation (including writing): generating written, visual or audio content from scratch. Examples: writing texts, composing music, creating graphics.
- Content Creation (generating new ideas or concepts): Examples: brainstorming, idea generation for stories or projects, artistic inspiration.
- Information Search (search engine replacement, looking up some facts).
- Advice and Recommendation (providing suggestions or guidance based on input data. Examples: product recommendations, lifestyle advice, travel suggestions).
- Explanations and Learning (offering detailed explanations or educational content. Examples: explaining complex concepts, tutoring in various subjects, answering questions).
- Analysis and Processing (conducting analytical tasks or data processing. Examples: coding assistance, data analysis, statistical computations, sentiment analysis).
- Automation and Productivity (automating routine tasks to improve efficiency. Examples: scheduling, reminders, managing emails, automating workflows).

Item score range: 1–5

age

- age

Item score range: 18–110

gender

- **Please indicate your gender**
- 1: Male

- 2: Female
- 3: Non-binary / third gender
- 4: Prefer not to say (*treated as NA*)

education

- **What is the highest level of education you have completed?**
- 1: Some high school or less
- 2: High school diploma or GED
- 3: Some college, but no degree
- 4: Associates or technical degree
- 5: Bachelor's degree
- 6: Graduate or professional degree (MA, MS, MBA, PhD, JD, MD, DDS etc.)
- 7: Prefer not to say (*treated as NA*)

income

- **What was your total household income before taxes during the past 12 months?**
- 1: Less than \$25,000
- 2: \$25,000-\$49,999
- 3: \$50,000-\$74,999
- 4: \$75,000-\$99,999
- 5: \$100,000-\$149,999
- 6: \$150,000 or more
- 7: Prefer not to say (*treated as NA*)

enjoyment_favorite_ai_user

- I find using [favorite AI] to be enjoyable.
- The actual process of using [favorite AI] is pleasant.
- I have fun using [favorite AI].

Item score range: 1–7

choice_favorite_ai_user

- **Which AI system is your favorite?**
- 1: ChatGPT
- 2: Microsoft Copilot (formerly Bing Chat)
- 3: Claude
- 4: Google AI incl. Google Gemini (formerly Bard)
- 5: Alexa
- 6: Siri/Apple Intelligence
- 7: Samsung Galaxy AI
- 8: Twitter/X Grok

- 9: Meta AI
- 10: Other
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: choose_favAI_gnr_10_TEXT)*

choice_favorite_ai_no_user

- **Which AI system is your favorite?**
- 1: ChatGPT
- 2: Microsoft Copilot (formerly Bing Chat)
- 3: Claude
- 4: Google AI incl. Google Gemini (formerly Bard)
- 5: Alexa
- 6: Siri/Apple Intelligence
- 7: Samsung Galaxy AI
- 8: Twitter/X Grok
- 9: Meta AI
- 10: Other
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: choose_favAI_noUser_10_TEXT)*

general_experience_ai

- General experience with AI systems

Item score range: 1–5

intention_use_favorite_ai

- I intend to use [favorite AI] in the next 2 months.
- I predict I would use [favorite AI] in the next 2 months.
- I plan to use [favorite AI] in the next 2 months.

Item score range: 1–5

intention_use_no_user

- I intend to use an AI in the next 2 months.
- I predict I would use an AI in the next 2 months.
- I plan to use an AI in the next 2 months.

Item score range: 1–5

subjective_knowledge

- How would you rate your knowledge about AI?

Item score range: 1–5

predicted__knowledge

- What percent of these knowledge questions do you expect to answer correctly?

Item score range: 0–100

objective__knowledge

- LLMs are trained with a large amount of text data (e.g., internet, social media). (correct)
- LLMs calculate for their answers which word is most likely to come next. (correct)
- The responses of LLMs may be biased (e.g., racially) based on the data they were trained on. (correct)
- The statements of LLMs are always correct. (incorrect)
- Humans can still easily recognize AI-generated speech as artificial speech. (incorrect)
- LLMs can intentionally lie and spread false information. (incorrect)
- Humans can answer questions about a text better than LLMs. (incorrect)
- LLMs have learned to understand language like a human. (incorrect)
- LLMs have no real understanding of what they write. (correct)
- In machine learning, two common groups of strategies to train algorithms are supervised and unsupervised learning. (correct)
- Artificial neural networks attempt to fully replicate neural networks in the brain. (incorrect)
- Using AI, videos can be created that are indistinguishable from videos created by real people. (correct)
- A strong AI can make decisions on its own. (correct)
- Machine learning is based on statistical principles. (correct)
- A chatbot can correctly answer the question ‘Will it rain tomorrow?’ with a high probability. (correct)
- The language understanding of AI systems does not yet reach that of humans. (correct)
- The automatic generation of texts has already been used for years in journalism and e-commerce, for example. (correct)
- Content created by AI must be legally marked as such. (incorrect)

Item score range: 1–2

objective__knowledge__confidence

- LLMs are trained with a large amount of text data (e.g., internet, social media).
- LLMs calculate for their answers which word is most likely to come next.
- The responses of LLMs may be biased (e.g., racially) based on the data they were trained on.
- The statements of LLMs are always correct.

- Humans can still easily recognize AI-generated speech as artificial speech.
- LLMs can intentionally lie and spread false information.
- Humans can answer questions about a text better than LLMs.
- LLMs have learned to understand language like a human.
- LLMs have no real understanding of what they write.
- In machine learning, two common groups of strategies to train algorithms are supervised and unsupervised learning.
- Artificial neural networks attempt to fully replicate neural networks in the brain.
- Using AI, videos can be created that are indistinguishable from videos created by real people.
- A strong AI can make decisions on its own.
- Machine learning is based on statistical principles.
- A chatbot can correctly answer the question ‘Will it rain tomorrow?’ with a high probability.
- The language understanding of AI systems does not yet reach that of humans.
- The automatic generation of texts has already been used for years in journalism and e-commerce, for example.
- Content created by AI must be legally marked as such.

Item score range: 1–6

loneliness

- ... that you lack companionship?
- ... left out?
- ... isolated from others?

Item score range: 1–3

modality_favorite_ai

- **How do you interact with [favorite AI] most often?**
- 1: mostly via voice
- 12: mix of voice and text
- 2: mostly via text

need_satisfaction_morality

- When interacting with AI systems, I feel a strong sense of moral fulfilment.
- When interacting with AI systems, I feel that I am being a good person.
- When interacting with AI systems, I embody my moral values.
- When interacting with AI systems, I feel that I am doing the right thing.

Item score range: 1–5

need_satisfaction_competence

- When interacting with AI systems, I feel very capable and effective.
- When interacting with AI systems, I feel like a competent person.
- When interacting with AI systems, I feel that I know what I'm doing.

Item score range: 1–5

need_satisfaction_autonomy

- When interacting with AI systems, I feel free to be who I am.
- When interacting with AI systems, I have a say in what happens and I can voice my opinion.
- When interacting with AI systems, I believe that my choices are based on my true desires and values.

Item score range: 1–5

need_satisfaction_relatedness

- When interacting with AI systems, I feel the support of others.
- When interacting with AI systems, I feel a sense of closeness and connectedness to others.
- When interacting with AI systems, I feel connected.

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_anthropomorphism_favorite_ai

- fake - natural
- machinelike - humanlike
- unconscious - conscious
- artificial - lifelike
- rigid - elegant

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_anthropomorphism_ai_no_user

- fake - natural
- machinelike - humanlike
- unconscious - conscious
- artificial - lifelike
- rigid - elegant

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_intelligence_favorite_ai

- foolish - sensible

- incompetent - competent
- unintelligent - intelligent
- irresponsible - responsible
- stupid - knowledgeable

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_intelligence_ai_no_user

- foolish - sensible
- incompetent - competent
- unintelligent - intelligent
- irresponsible - responsible
- stupid - knowledgeable

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_reliance_on_ai_user

- I feel unprotected when I do not have access to AI.
- I am concerned about the idea of being left behind in my tasks or projects if I do not use AI.
- I do everything possible to stay updated with AI to impress or remain relevant in my field.
- I constantly need validation or feedback from AI systems to feel confident in my decisions.
- I fear that AI might replace my current skills or abilities.

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_role_of_ai_favorite_ai

- **What role does (piped fav AI) take when you interact with it?
Please select the option that fits most in most cases.**
- 1: Child
- 2: Servant
- 3: Pet
- 4: Student
- 5: Partner
- 6: Teacher
- 7: Boss
- 8: Friend
- 9: Colleague
- 10: Master
- 11: Other
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: role_partner_User_fav_11_TEXT)*

perceived_role_of_ai_no_user

- **In your understanding: What role do AI systems generally take when one interacts with them? Please select the option that fits most in most cases.**
- 1: Child
- 2: Servant
- 3: Pet
- 4: Student
- 5: Partner
- 6: Teacher
- 7: Boss
- 8: Friend
- 9: Colleague
- 10: Master
- 11: Other
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: role_partner_noUser_11_TEXT)*

perceived_task_characteristics_email

- **This task requires social skills to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **This task requires creativity to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is impor-**

tant for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).

- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_formal_letter

- This task requires social skills to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires creativity to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.

- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_job_application

- **This task requires social skills to complete.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **This task requires creativity to complete.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0
- **I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.**
 - 1: -1
 - 2: 1
 - 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_meeting_summary

- **This task requires social skills to complete.**

- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **This task requires creativity to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_condolence_card

- **This task requires social skills to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **This task requires creativity to complete.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

- This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_social_media_post

- This task requires social skills to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires creativity to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.
- 1: -1

- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_personal_letter

- This task requires social skills to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires creativity to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.

- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perceived_task_characteristics_birthday_invitation

- This task requires social skills to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires creativity to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- This task requires a great deal of time or effort to complete.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- It takes significant training or expertise to be qualified for this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I am confident in my own abilities to complete this task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- I trust an AI system's ability to reliably complete the task.
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- Understanding the reasons behind the AI agent's actions is important for me to trust the AI agent on this task (e.g., explanations are necessary).
- 1: -1

- 2: 1
- 3: 0
- **I trust an AI system's decisions to protect my interests and align with my values for this task.**
- 1: -1
- 2: 1
- 3: 0

perception_tool_actor_favorite_ai

- **How do you usually perceive [favorite AI] while using it?**
- 1: More like a tool
- 2: More like a social actor

perception_tool_actor_no_user

- **How do you usually perceive AI systems in general?**
- 1: More like a tool
- 2: More like a social actor

preferred_level_of_delegation

- Writing a work-related email.
- Writing a formal letter, e.g., to public authority.
- Writing a job application.
- Writing a brief business meeting summary.
- Writing a condolence card to a friend.
- Writing a social media post.
- Writing a personal letter to a friend or relative.
- Writing a birthday invitation card to friends.

Item score range: 1–4

reason_for_not_using_ai

- **lack_knowledge** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_lack_knowledge (boolean)
- **privacy_concerns** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_privacy_concerns (boolean)
- **no_use_case** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_no_use_case (boolean)
- **cost_barrier** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_cost_barrier (boolean)
- **reliability_concerns** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_reliability_concerns (boolean)
- **prefer_traditional** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_prefer_traditional (boolean)

- **waiting_maturity** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_waiting_maturity (boolean)
- **no_resources** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_no_resources (boolean)
- **ethical_concerns** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_ethical_concerns (boolean)
- **no_time** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_no_time (boolean)
- **dislike_ai** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_dislike_ai (boolean)
- **other** → column reason_for_not_using_ai_other (boolean)
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: reason_why_noUser_1_12_TEXT)*

strong_reason_for_not_using_ai

- **strong_reason_lack_knowledge** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_la (boolean)
- **strong_reason_privacy_concerns** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_p (boolean)
- **strong_reason_no_use_case** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_no_us (boolean)
- **strong_reason_cost_barrier** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_cost_b (boolean)
- **strong_reason_reliability_concerns** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason (boolean)
- **strong_reason_prefer_traditional** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_p (boolean)
- **strong_reason_waiting_maturity** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_w (boolean)
- **strong_reason_no_resources** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_no_re (boolean)
- **strong_reason_ethical_concerns** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_et (boolean)
- **strong_reason_no_time** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_no_time (boolean)
- **strong_reason_dislike_ai** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_dislike_a (boolean)
- **strong_reason_other** → column strong_reason_for_not_using_ai_strong_reason_other (boolean)
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: reason_why_noUser_2_12_TEXT)*

risk_opportunity_score

- How concerned, if at all, are you when you think about AI?
- How pessimistic, if at all, are you when you imagine the future use of AI?
- How likely would AI negatively influence your life?
- How likely would you suffer from the implementation of AI into everyday life?

- How confident, if at all, do you feel when you think about the potential of AI?
- How optimistic, if at all, are you when you imagine the future use of AI?
- How likely would AI positively influence your life?
- How likely would you benefit from the implementation of AI into everyday life?

Item score range: 1–5

self_efficacy_without_ai_creativity

- Regarding my creativity: I have confidence in my ability to generate novel and useful ideas.
- Regarding my creativity: I am confident that my creative performance in a given task is good.
- Regarding my creativity: I have a good knowledge base and creative strategy.
- Regarding my creativity: I know that my creative abilities are good.

Item score range: 1–5

self_efficacy_without_ai_problem_solving

- Regarding my problem-solving skills: I feel capable of coping with my problems.
- Regarding my problem-solving skills: Typically, my problems with any given task are not too big or hard for me to solve.
- Regarding my problem-solving skills: Most of my plans for solving my problems on a given task are ones that would really work.
- Regarding my problem-solving skills: I can typically handle my problems in any given task; they are not beyond me.

Item score range: 1–5

self_efficacy_with_ai_creativity

- Regarding my creativity: I have confidence in my ability to generate novel and useful ideas.
- Regarding my creativity: I am confident that my creative performance in a given task is good.
- Regarding my creativity: I have a good knowledge base and creative strategy.
- Regarding my creativity: I know that my creative abilities are good.

Item score range: 1–5

self_efficacy_with_ai_problem_solving

- Regarding my problem-solving skills: I feel capable of coping with my problems.
- Regarding my creativity: I am confident that my creative performance in a given task is good.
- Regarding my creativity: I have a good knowledge base and creative strategy.
- Regarding my creativity: I know that my creative abilities are good.

Item score range: 1–5

social_presence_sense_favorite_ai

- There is a sense of human contact in the interaction.
- There is a sense of personalness in the interaction.
- There is a feeling of sociability in the interaction.
- There is a feeling of human warmth in the interaction.
- There is a feeling of human sensitivity in the interaction.

Item score range: 1–7

social_presence_being_favorite_ai

- ...how much do you feel you are interacting with an intelligent being?
- ...how much do you feel you are in the company of an intelligent being?
- ...how much do you feel an intelligent being is responding to you?

Item score range: 1–7

task_types_general

- **writing** → column `task_types_general_writing` (boolean)
- **content_creation** → column `task_types_general_content_creation` (boolean)
- **creative_idea** → column `task_types_general_creative_idea` (boolean)
- **info_search** → column `task_types_general_info_search` (boolean)
- **advice** → column `task_types_general_advice` (boolean)
- **learning** → column `task_types_general_learning` (boolean)
- **analysis** → column `task_types_general_analysis` (boolean)
- **automation** → column `task_types_general_automation` (boolean)
- **other** → column `task_types_general_other` (boolean)
- (*Open-ended responses captured in: `tsk_typs_9_TEXT`*)

task_types_favorite_ai

- **writing** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_writing` (boolean)

- **content_creation** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_content_creation` (boolean)
- **creative_idea** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_creative_idea` (boolean)
- **info_search** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_info_search` (boolean)
- **advice** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_advice` (boolean)
- **learning** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_learning` (boolean)
- **analysis** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_analysis` (boolean)
- **automation** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_automation` (boolean)
- **other** → column `task_types_favorite_ai_other` (boolean)
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: `tsk_typs_User_fav_9_TEXT`)*

trust_favorite_ai

- I am confident in [favorite AI]. I feel that it works well.
- The outputs of [favorite AI] are very predictable.
- [favorite AI] is very reliable. I can count on it to be correct all the time.
- I feel safe that when I rely on [favorite AI] I will get the right answers.
- [favorite AI] is efficient in that it works very quickly.
- [favorite AI] can perform the task better than a novice human user.
- I like using [favorite AI] for decision making.
- I trust [favorite AI]

Item score range: 1–5

trust_competence

- I am confident in [favorite AI]. I feel that it works well.
- [favorite AI] is efficient in that it works very quickly.
- [favorite AI] can perform the task better than a novice human user.

Item score range: 1–5

trust_dependability

- [favorite AI] is very reliable. I can count on it to be correct all the time.
- I feel safe that when I rely on [favorite AI] I will get the right answers.
- I trust [favorite AI]

Item score range: 1–5

general_ai_usage_frequency

- General usage frequency of AI systems

Item score range: 1–7

favorite_ai_usage_frequency

- Usage frequency of favorite AI tool

Item score range: 1–7

usefulness_favorite_ai

- How useful is (piped fav AI) for assisting you with this/these task(s)?

Item score range: 0–100

usefulness_no_user

- How useful do you perceive AI systems?

Item score range: 0–100

cognitive_selfesteem_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: cognitive_selfesteem_thinking, cognitive_selfesteem_memory, cognitive_selfesteem_transactive_memory

credibility_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: credibility_favorite_ai, credibility_ai_no_user

favorite_ai_system_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: choice_favorite_ai_user, choice_favorite_ai_no_user

intention_use_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: intention_use_favorite_ai, intention_use_no_user

perceived_anthropomorphism_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: perceived_anthropomorphism_favorite_ai, perceived_anthropomorphism_ai_no_user

perceived_intelligence_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: perceived_intelligence_favorite_ai, perceived_intelligence_ai_no_user

perceived_role_of_ai_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: perceived_role_of_ai_favorite_ai, perceived_role_of_ai_no_user

perception_tool_actor_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: perception_tool_actor_favorite_ai, perception_tool_actor_no_user

usefulness_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: usefulness_favorite_ai, usefulness_no_user

effects_on_work

- Using AI has increased my efficiency.
- Using AI has increased the quality of my work.
- Using AI has increased my productivity.
- Using AI has given me time and capacity to focus on other tasks.

Item score range: 1–5

voting_decision

- **Due to the current events we are interested in your vote, your answer is voluntary!**
- 1: I voted for Trump
- 2: I voted for Harris
- 3: I did not vote!

voting_mood

- Please indicate the mood you had while completing the questionnaire on a scale from 1 to 7. 1 = Very negative 7 = Very positive - My mood is ...

Item score range: 1–7

need_to_belong

- I don't like being alone.
- I have a strong 'need to belong.'

Item score range: 1–7

need_for_cognition

- I would prefer complex to simple problems.
- Thinking is not my idea of fun. (inversed)

- I would rather do something that requires little thought than something that is sure to challenge my thinking abilities. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–7

need_for_closure

- I don't like situations that are uncertain.
- I dislike questions which could be answered in many different ways.
- I feel uncomfortable when I don't understand the reason why an event occurred in my life.

Item score range: 1–7

personality_specific_traits

- I don't like being alone.
- I have a strong 'need to belong.'
- I would prefer complex to simple problems.
- Thinking is not my idea of fun. (inversed)
- I would rather do something that requires little thought than something that is sure to challenge my thinking abilities. (inversed)
- I don't like situations that are uncertain.
- I dislike questions which could be answered in many different ways.
- I feel uncomfortable when I don't understand the reason why an event occurred in my life.

Item score range: 1–7

creepiness_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: creepiness_favorite_ai_user, creepiness_ai_no_user

ai_adoption_factors_open_question

- What would need to change for you to change your opinion and start using AI-based services on a regular basis?

ai_aversion_no_user

- I feel uneasy when I think about integrating AI systems into my daily activities.
- I believe that the benefits of AI are exaggerated by technology companies.
- I prefer relying on human judgment rather than on AI-driven processes.
- I find the general hype surrounding AI to be excessive.

Item score range: 1–7

concerns_about_loss_of_autonomy_no_user

- I feel that using AI reduces my control over important decisions.
- I am uncomfortable with machines making choices that affect my daily life.
- I worry that AI could reduce my ability to act independently.
- I believe that AI-driven automation could erode personal autonomy over time.

Item score range: 1–7

distrust_toward_ai_corporations_no_user

- I am uneasy about a few big AI companies having too much influence.
- I worry that the growth of AI will concentrate power in major corporations.
- I do not trust large tech firms to use AI responsibly for everyone.
- I believe that current AI development favors corporate interests over individual rights.

Item score range: 1–7

ecological_concerns_no_user

- I am concerned that the widespread adoption of AI could have a negative impact on the environment.
- I worry that AI development sometimes involves practices that are ethically questionable.
- I believe that AI systems may contribute to ecological degradation.
- I feel that the potential ethical issues associated with AI outweigh its benefits.

Item score range: 1–7

ethical_concerns_general_no_user

- I worry that AI could be used to unfairly shape public opinion.
- I worry that relying more on AI could worsen social inequality.
- I believe that AI often overlooks ethical issues in its design.
- I feel that the social risks of AI outweigh its benefits.

Item score range: 1–7

knowledge_how_to_start_using_ai_no_user

- If you would be prompted to now start using an AI system - would you know where to start and what to do?
- 1: Yes
- 2: No, not really

lack_of_fomo_no_user

- I do not worry about missing out on opportunities by not using AI.
- I feel secure in my current technological approach even though many others are adopting AI.
- I rarely feel pressured to keep up with the latest AI trends.
- I am content with the technology I use and do not feel left behind by AI advancements.

Item score range: 1–7

perceived_role_ai_should_take_no_user

- **In your understanding: What role SHOULD AI systems generally take when one interacts with them?**
- 1: Child
- 2: Servant
- 3: Pet
- 4: Student
- 5: Partner
- 6: Teacher
- 7: Boss
- 8: Friend
- 9: Colleague
- 10: Master
- 11: Other
- *(Open-ended responses captured in: shld_role_part_noUser_11_TEXT)*

perceived_lack_of_need_no_user

- I do not see any additional benefit in using AI when traditional methods already meet my needs.
- The tools I currently use are entirely sufficient for my work and personal tasks.
- I believe that AI does not offer a significant improvement over my existing technologies.
- I am satisfied with my current solutions and feel no compulsion to try AI-based alternatives.

Item score range: 1–7

preference_for_status_quo_no_user

- I feel confident in my current digital skills, so I do not need additional AI assistance.
- I am comfortable using the digital tools I have mastered without incorporating AI.

- Learning new AI technologies seems unnecessary given my proficiency with existing methods.
- I prefer to rely on familiar digital practices rather than exploring novel AI innovations.

Item score range: 1–7

security_concerns_no_user

- I worry that AI systems leave my personal information vulnerable.
- I worry that using AI in daily applications makes it easier for my data to be misused.
- I feel that AI lacks transparency about how it collects and processes my information.
- I believe that companies using AI to analyze data put my privacy at risk.

Item score range: 1–7

agency_favorite_ai

- (piped fav AI) can create new goals.
- (piped fav AI) can communicate with people.
- (piped fav AI) can show emotions to other people.
- (piped fav AI) can change their behavior based on how people treat them.
- (piped fav AI) can adapt to different situations.

Item score range: 1–5

agency_ai_no_user

- AI can create new goals.
- AI can communicate with people.
- AI can show emotions to other people.
- AI can change their behavior based on how people treat them.
- AI can adapt to different situations.

Item score range: 1–5

barrier_for_use

- I avoid AI because I worry about errors.
- I avoid AI because it feels too complicated.
- I avoid AI because I don't trust it.
- I avoid AI because I fear it will replace my skills.

Item score range: 1–5

change_in_writing_without_ai

- **Over the past year, has the amount of time you spend writing without AI increased, decreased, or stayed the same?**
- 1: Decreased
- 2: Stayed the same
- 3: Increased

change_of_personal_role

- I believe AI will change my role in society.
- I think I can use AI to improve my work or life.
- I feel powerless to influence how AI affects me.
- I expect to adapt my skills because of AI.

Item score range: 1–5

consequences_ai_use_user

- personal development
- wellbeing
- personality
- friendships
- interactions with strangers
- interactions with family and friends
- relationships with family and friends
- feelings toward other people
- patience with other people
- politeness toward other people
- collaboration with colleagues
- communication skills
- problem-solving skills
- other skills

Item score range: 1–7

consequences_ai_use_no_user

- personal development
- wellbeing
- personality
- friendships
- interactions with strangers
- interactions with family and friends
- relationships with family and friends
- feelings toward other people
- patience with other people
- politeness toward other people

- collaboration with colleagues
- communication skills
- problem-solving skills
- other skills

Item score range: 1–7

companionship_favorite_ai

- During my interactions with (piped fav AI), I spend enjoyable time.
- I feel included when I interact with (piped fav AI).
- When I interact with (piped fav AI), it connects with me with things I like.
- I share activities with (piped fav AI) for fun.
- I have fun when interacting with (piped fav AI).
- (piped fav AI) does things with me that I enjoy.
- I spend leisure time interacting with (piped fav AI).
- I do things together with (piped fav AI) that I like.
- I participate in activities with (piped fav AI) that make me feel included.
- I spend time with (piped fav AI) in ways that make me feel I belong.

Item score range: 0–4

effect_on_behavior_toward_people_user

- The way I act toward AI affects how I interact with people.
- Being polite to AI makes me more polite to others.
- I talk to other people the same way I talk to AI.
- I treat other people the same way I treat AI.

Item score range: 1–5

effect_on_behavior_toward_people_no_user

- The way I act toward AI would affect how I interact with people.
- Being polite to AI would make me more polite to others.
- I would talk to other people the same way I talk to AI.
- I would treat other people the same way I treat AI.

Item score range: 1–5

ethical_concerns_delegation

- How concerned are you about the moral or ethical implications of delegating important tasks to AI?

Item score range: 1–5

parasocial_behavior_favorite_ai

- Say “thank you” and “good bye” to it.
- Occasionally react very emotionally towards it.
- Sometimes gesture towards it.
- Spontaneously say something to it in certain moments.
- Occasionally shout something at it.
- Express my thoughts and opinions to it.
- Am unfriendly or rude.
- Treat it the same way I treat other people.

Item score range: 1–7

parasocial_behavior_no_user

- Say “thank you” and “good bye” to it.
- Occasionally react very emotionally towards it.
- Sometimes gesture towards it.
- Spontaneously say something to it in certain moments.
- Occasionally shout something at it.
- Express my thoughts and opinions to it.
- Am unfriendly or rude.
- Treat it the same way I treat other people.

Item score range: 1–7

generalized_mind_perception_favorite_ai

- can feel happy.
- can love specific people.
- can feel pleasure.
- can experience gratitude.
- can feel pain.
- can feel stress.
- can experience fear.
- can feel tired.
- has a sense for what is right and wrong.
- behaves according to moral rules.
- can create new goals.
- can communicate with people.
- can hear and see the world
- can learn from instruction.

Item score range: 1–5

generalized_mind_perception_no_user

- can feel happy.

- can love specific people.
- can feel pleasure.
- can experience gratitude.
- can feel pain.
- can feel stress.
- can experience fear.
- can feel tired.
- has a sense for what is right and wrong.
- behaves according to moral rules.
- can create new goals.
- can communicate with people.
- can hear and see the world
- can learn from instruction.

Item score range: 1–5

hope_and_concern

- I hope AI will make life easier for most people.
- I hope AI will solve important global problems.
- I worry AI will take too many jobs. (inversed)
- I worry AI will increase social inequalities. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

impact_of_delegation_on_skills

- **Do you think letting AI do more tasks for you has improved or reduced your own skills?**
- 1: Strongly reduced
- 2: Somewhat reduced
- 3: No impact
- 4: Somewhat improved
- 5: Strongly improved
- 6: I do not let AI make any tasks for me (*treated as NA*)

impact_in_general_on_skills_user

- I believe AI will make me less skilled at tasks I currently do.
- I believe AI will help me learn new things.
- AI makes me rely less on my own abilities.
- AI helps me develop new skills.

Item score range: 1–5

impact_in_general_on_skills_no_user

- I believe AI would make me less skilled at tasks I currently do.

- I believe AI would help me learn new things.
- AI would make me rely less on my own abilities.
- AI would help me develop new skills.

Item score range: 1–5

microblog__profile

- Do you have a profile on a microblogging service (e.g., Bluesky, X, Truth Social)?

Item score range: 1–2

microblog__usage__frequency

- How often do you log in to microblogging services?

Item score range: 1–7

microblog__usage__since__ai__use

- Since you started using AI, do you use microblogging services less or more often?

Item score range: 1–5

professional__social__network__profile

- Do you have a profile on a social networking site for professional purposes (e.g., LinkedIn)?

Item score range: 1–2

professional__social__network__usage__frequency

- How often do you log in to social networking sites for professional purposes?

Item score range: 1–7

professional__social__network__usage__since__ai__use

- Since you started using AI, do you use professional social networking sites less or more often?

Item score range: 1–5

machine__heuristic__1__favorite__ai

- expert
- efficient
- rigid

- fair
- complex
- superfluous

Item score range: 1–7

machine_heuristic_1_no_user

- expert
- efficient
- rigid
- fair
- complex
- superfluous

Item score range: 1–7

machine_heuristic_2_favorite_ai

- neutral
- unbiased
- objective
- accurate

Item score range: 1–7

machine_heuristic_2_no_user

- neutral
- unbiased
- objective
- accurate

Item score range: 1–7

mind_perception_favorite_ai

- (piped fav AI) can feel happy.
- (piped fav AI) can love specific people.
- (piped fav AI) can feel pleasure.
- (piped fav AI) can experience gratitude.
- (piped fav AI) can feel pain.
- (piped fav AI) can feel stress.
- (piped fav AI) can experience fear.
- (piped fav AI) can feel tired.
- (piped fav AI) can see and hear the world.
- (piped fav AI) can learn from instruction.

Item score range: 1–5

mind_perception_no_user

- AI can feel happy.
- AI can love specific people.
- AI can feel pleasure.
- AI can experience gratitude.
- AI can feel pain.
- AI can feel stress.
- AI can experience fear.
- AI can feel tired.
- AI can see and hear the world.
- AI can learn from instruction.

Item score range: 1–5

number_of_tasks_delegated_to_ai

- In the last two months, how many tasks did you complete entirely using AI assistance? (By task we mean anything you personally consider a task)
- 1: None
- 2: 1–2
- 3: 3–5
- 4: 6–10
- 5: More than 10

perceived_changes_attitudes_usage

- I feel more comfortable using AI than I did a year ago.
- I trust AI more now than I did a year ago.
- I use AI more frequently now than I did a year ago.
- I feel more anxious about AI than I did a year ago. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_moral_agency_favorite_ai

- (piped fav AI) has a sense for what is right and wrong.
- (piped fav AI) can think through whether an action is moral.
- (piped fav AI) might feel obligated to behave in a moral way.
- (piped fav AI) is capable of being rational about good and evil.
- (piped fav AI) behaves according to moral rules.
- (piped fav AI) would refrain from doing things that have painful repercussions.
- (piped fav AI) can only behave how it is programmed to behave. (inversed)
- (piped fav AI)'s actions are the result of its programming. (inversed)
- (piped fav AI) can only do what humans tell it to do. (inversed)

- (piped fav AI) would never do anything it was not programmed to do. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

perceived_moral_agency_no_user

- AI has a sense for what is right and wrong.
- AI can think through whether an action is moral.
- AI might feel obligated to behave in a moral way.
- AI is capable of being rational about good and evil.
- AI behaves according to moral rules.
- AI would refrain from doing things that have painful repercussions.
- AI can only behave how it is programmed to behave. (inversed)
- AI's actions are the result of its programming. (inversed)
- AI can only do what humans tell it to do. (inversed)
- AI would never do anything it was not programmed to do. (inversed)

Item score range: 1–5

perception_of_being_left_behind

- I feel left behind by how quickly AI is advancing.
- I worry I won't keep up with new AI tools.
- I feel confident I can adapt to AI changes. (inversed)
- I think AI is moving faster than I can learn.

Item score range: 1–5

potential_motivators_for_ai_usage

- I would use AI if it were easier to understand.
- I would use AI if it felt more trustworthy.
- I would use AI if it saved me time.
- I would use AI if it fit my daily routines.

Item score range: 1–5

willingness_to_delegate

- Post public updates on social media for you.
- Reply to generic messages (e.g., appointment confirmations).
- Reply to private messages from friends or family.
- Choose topics or articles you share on social media.
- Recommend potential dating partners.
- Start conversations with potential dating partners.
- Make final choices about whom you date.
- Schedule medical appointments for you.
- Choose which doctor or specialist you should see.

- Summarize your health information for you.
- Provide you with recommendations on treating minor health issues.
- Decide whether you should seek medical care for a symptom.
- Choose which treatment option you should follow for a diagnosed condition.
- Make emergency medical decisions for you if you are unable to do so.

Item score range: 1–6

willingness_to_delegate_change

- Compared to when this study started, how has your willingness to delegate tasks to AI changed?

Item score range: 1–5

willingness_to_delegate_future

- In the future, do you think you will let AI do more and more tasks for you?

Item score range: 1–5

agency_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: `agency_favorite_ai`, `agency_ai_no_user`

effect_on_behavior_toward_people_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: `effect_on_behavior_toward_people_user`, `effect_on_behavior_toward_people_no_user`

impact_in_general_on_skills_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: `impact_in_general_on_skills_user`, `impact_in_general_on_skills_no_user`

machine_heuristic_1_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: `machine_heuristic_1_favorite_ai`, `machine_heuristic_1_no_user`

machine_heuristic_2_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: `machine_heuristic_2_favorite_ai`, `machine_heuristic_2_no_user`

mind_perception_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: mind_perception_favorite_ai, mind_perception_no_user

parasocial_behavior_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: parasocial_behavior_favorite_ai, parasocial_behavior_no_user

perceived_moral_agency_overall

- This is a composite scale. See constituent scales: perceived_moral_agency_favorite_ai, perceived_moral_agency_no_user

Auto-generated documentation. Edit or supplement with study usage notes as needed.